

Serial No. 10/663,109
Response to Office Action

- 2 -


Applicants disagree that Applicants made any statements to the effect that the facts of the case support a rejection under 102/103. Rather, only the overall application of 102(e) rejection in the case of a common inventor or in the case of common assignee was discussed.

Conclusion

Based on the above arguments, Applicants believe that claims 1-4, 7-11 and 14 are now in a condition for allowance and therefore respectfully request the Examiner to allow these claims.

This application is being filed with a request for a 3 month extension and a check for \$510.00. Any additional fee may be charged to Deposit Account No. 08-2442.

Respectfully submitted,
HODGSON RUSS LLP

By 
Ranjana Nadle, Ph.D., Reg. No. 40,041

Hodgson Russ LLP
The Guaranty Building
140 Pearl Street, Suite 100
Buffalo, New York 14202-4040
(716) 848-1628
Dated: April 11, 2007